Appendix J

Responses to Council's Pre-lodgement Advice

A pre-lodgement meeting was held with Council on 9 September 2020 to discuss the Preliminary Planning Proposal. The below table provides a summary of the key issues raised by Council including Macroplan's response to this feedback.

Key Issue	Council's comments	Macroplan Response
Adequate housing	Based on Council's preliminary	This Planning Proposal constitutes what is
supply to meet	research, the LGA's five-year housing	termed in contemporary planning speak to be
housing targets	target (2016-2021) under the North	a" logical inclusion". The subject land is
	District Plan is 3,400 new dwellings	adjacent to what is already an extensive
	and is likely to be met under existing	medium density area, and there is no
	planning controls without the need for	planning basis to suggest the Proposal is not
	unplanned uplift.	suitable from a development perspective for
		medium density housing. Further this is a
	As noted above, recent research	large parcel of land where issues and
	indicates that existing planning	technical aspects can be addressed as part
	controls will be able to deliver short	of a DA. This has been addressed in the
	term targets with an emphasis on new	accompanying technical assessments.
	dwellings being provided in already	
	identified precincts such as Frenchs	Council and the GSC have acknowledged in
	Forest.	their strategic planning conversation that
		Mona Vale is suited to provide medium
	The North District Plan and Local	density housing as a future changed land use
	Strategic Planning Statement do not	option and this site is within 700m walking
	specifically require the need for	distance of Mona Vale shops and within
	additional housing in the location of the	400m of Mona Vale's 'strategic centre' lands.
	subject site.	
		The issue then becomes one of turning on
	In consideration of the above, the	the strategic planning conversation to enable
	documents submitted by the	this strategic intent to materialise.
	proponent have not demonstrated why	Materialisation can be facilitated in two ways,
	this planning proposal should be	firstly a council rezones an area as part of a
	progressed ahead of the completion of	broader planning adjustment or
	such studies and without the	consideration or secondly, a proponent
	demonstrable strategic need for	lodges a Planning Proposal which aligns with
	additional housing in this location.	the end intentions articulated in a strategic planning document. Both approaches
		planning document. Both approaches represent sound planning, this is further
		relevant in the context that there is
		appropriate infrastructure which can be
		enabled as part of this turning on process.
		chabled as part of this turning on process.

	[Diapping torgets such as housing such as
		Planning targets such as housing numbers in
		strategic planning documents should not be
		deliberately interpreted or used around the
		notion that these are a maximum topping up
		figures when in fact, they are a minimum
		performance expectation relevant to higher
		level district and metropolitan plans. If there
		can be earlier and practical materialisation of
		medium density, it should not be
		unnecessarily held up.
		The North District Plan discusses the need
		for housing supply and a mix of housing types
		in Mona Vale, in this context the subject
		location is well suited to undergo change.
		The Planning Proposal is an acceptable and
		logical inclusion in strategic planning terms.
		Further the Proposal will not compromise the
		existing character of a location already
		undergoing change.
		In addition, the Northern Beaches LSPS
		notes that "significant additional housing
		supply is not needed to address projected
		population growth, but is needed to address
		affordability issues and to provide a greater
		choice of housing options to a changing and
		ageing community" (p128). This Planning
		Proposal seeks to address this issue by
		providing housing options which are in low
		supply for the Mona Vale area.
		As stated in the Economic Assessment and
		Justification Report prepared by Macroplan,
		providing additional housing supply in
		Frenchs Forest does not address the housing
		needs of Mona Vale nor does it address the
		housing diversity and affordability issues.
Permissibility of	Council raised concerns regarding the	The Planning Proposal is seeking to rezone
other uses in the R3	permitted uses under the R3 zoning	the site to facilitate the redevelopment of the
zone	that are not appropriate or desirable at	site for medium density housing consistent
	the proposed location.	with the development form of Darley Street
		West and the zoning of this land. While it is

		noted that other permitted uses could be constructed on this land under the R3 zoning, these uses have been deemed appropriate to occur under the Standard Instrument and by Council as part of listing additional permitted uses within the R3 zoning. In accordance with the objectives of the R3 zone of the Pittwater LEP 2014, other non-residential uses would need to demonstrate that they provide facilities or services to meet the day to day needs of residents or be of a low intensity and scale, compatible with surrounding land uses.
		The proposed rezoning of the site is a logical extension of the existing land use zoning of Darley Street West.
Affordable Housing	Any areas subject to uplift would be subject to the provision of affordable housing in accordance with Council's adopted Affordable Housing policy.	The policy applies to planning proposals for urban renewal and greenfield development (policy statement a)). In addition, the Northern Beaches LSPS states that Council "will implement this policy in the Frenchs Forest strategic centre, Ingleside Growth Area and other urban renewal areas" (p128).
		The subject site is not a greenfield development site nor is it considered to be an urban renewal development but rather an infill development. The proposal is reflective of the character of Darley Street West and proposes a logical extension to the R3 zone to facilitate the redevelopment of the site for medium density housing. This is not a new land release area nor is the site identified as an urban renewal area or a planned precinct in the North District Plan (refer to Figure 11 of the Plan).
		It is noted that Council has developed a draft affordable housing contribution scheme which allows Council to collect developer contributions to provide affordable housing either as complete dwellings or as an

Consider Pittwater 21 DCP	Although the concept design for a Planning Proposal is not legally binding, any proposal should consider current Pittwater 21 DCP provisions and other applicable design guidelines to inform the built form outcomes and ensure that they are in character with the existing area.	equivalent monetary contribution. The Scheme currently only applies to the Frenchs Forest Planned Precinct, however may be extended to other areas that are rezoned or are subject to increases in residential density in the future. The relevant technical assessments including the Urban Design Study, Traffic Assessment and Stormwater Management Strategy have considered the applicability of the DCP to an appropriate level of detail for this Planning Proposal. These technical assessments confirm general compliance with the DCP and a development outcome that is consistent with the majority of development in Darley Street West which is medium density housing.
Setting a precedent without clarity of where additional housing growth should occur	Further, the proposal does not adequately justify the rezoning of the subject property over and before other land adjoining the Mona Vale town centre zone R2 land (or other land across LGA with similar characteristics and attributes). Consideration of rezoning of the subject site has the risk of setting a precedent for adjoining landowners to consider rezoning under the same premises. Having regard for the above, it is recommended that the proponent wait for the Housing Strategy to be released as this will provide clarity about where additional growth might occur.	The Planning Proposal does not set a precedent given land within Darley Street West is already zoned R3 and has been developed for medium density housing. Consideration has been given to the location and context of the site including the existing character of the locality. The development form proposed is consistent with the existing streetscape. The rezoning is a logical extension of the R3 zone which connects to land identified as the 'strategic centre' of Mona Vale (refer commentary in the Planning Proposal on the North District Plan). The appropriateness of Council rezoning land zoned R2 that is located adjacent to the Mona Vale shops is not a relevant planning consideration under the EP&A Act for a planning proposal. The merits of why the proposed Planning Proposal should be supported have been outlined in the Planning Proposal report prepared by Macroplan

		including the proposal's consistency with the
		including the proposal's consistency with the
		strategic narrative of the locality.
		The site is eminently suitable for
		consideration as a medium density area. The
		draft Local Housing Strategy (December
		2020) put on public display identified this
		area as fitting the criteria of the Centres
		Renewal Framework with the site located
		within 800m of Mona Vale's town centre.
		There was no justification provided in the
		endorsed version of the Local Housing
		Strategy (April 2021) for why the centre point
		location for the Centres Investigation Area
		had been moved to the boundary of the town
		centre (i.e. at the B-line bus stop) and why
		the area originally considered suitable for a
		mix of medium density housing was now no
		longer considered suitable. The Planning
		Proposal does not constitute a precedent as
		it already aligns with what has progressively
		evolved at this location.
Stormwater,	The Proposal must show compliance	Refer to section 2.7 of the Stormwater
Stormwater, Floodplain	The Proposal must show compliance with the Flood Prone Land (4.3)	
		Refer to section 2.7 of the Stormwater
Floodplain	with the Flood Prone Land (4.3)	Refer to section 2.7 of the Stormwater Management Strategy (SMS) for a response
Floodplain	with the Flood Prone Land (4.3) Direction of the Local Planning	Refer to section 2.7 of the Stormwater Management Strategy (SMS) for a response to the matters for consideration under
Floodplain	with the Flood Prone Land (4.3) Direction of the Local Planning Directions under Section 9.1(2) of the	Refer to section 2.7 of the Stormwater Management Strategy (SMS) for a response to the matters for consideration under
Floodplain	with the Flood Prone Land (4.3) Direction of the Local Planning Directions under Section 9.1(2) of the Environmental Planning and	Refer to section 2.7 of the Stormwater Management Strategy (SMS) for a response to the matters for consideration under Direction 4.3.
Floodplain	with the Flood Prone Land (4.3) Direction of the Local Planning Directions under Section 9.1(2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. Council noted	Refer to section 2.7 of the Stormwater Management Strategy (SMS) for a response to the matters for consideration under Direction 4.3. The Planning Proposal does not specifically
Floodplain	with the Flood Prone Land (4.3) Direction of the Local Planning Directions under Section 9.1(2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. Council noted inconsistency with Local Planning	Refer to section 2.7 of the Stormwater Management Strategy (SMS) for a response to the matters for consideration under Direction 4.3. The Planning Proposal does not specifically permit a significant increase in the development of floodprone land. No changes
Floodplain	with the Flood Prone Land (4.3) Direction of the Local Planning Directions under Section 9.1(2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. Council noted inconsistency with Local Planning Direction 4.3 Flood Prone Land.	Refer to section 2.7 of the Stormwater Management Strategy (SMS) for a response to the matters for consideration under Direction 4.3. The Planning Proposal does not specifically permit a significant increase in the development of floodprone land. No changes are proposed to the height and FSR controls
Floodplain	with the Flood Prone Land (4.3) Direction of the Local Planning Directions under Section 9.1(2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. Council noted inconsistency with Local Planning Direction 4.3 Flood Prone Land. With regard to this Planning Direction,	Refer to section 2.7 of the Stormwater Management Strategy (SMS) for a response to the matters for consideration under Direction 4.3. The Planning Proposal does not specifically permit a significant increase in the development of floodprone land. No changes are proposed to the height and FSR controls for the site which directly impact the
Floodplain	with the Flood Prone Land (4.3) Direction of the Local Planning Directions under Section 9.1(2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. Council noted inconsistency with Local Planning Direction 4.3 Flood Prone Land. With regard to this Planning Direction, given that the proposal would permit a	Refer to section 2.7 of the Stormwater Management Strategy (SMS) for a response to the matters for consideration under Direction 4.3. The Planning Proposal does not specifically permit a significant increase in the development of floodprone land. No changes are proposed to the height and FSR controls
Floodplain	with the Flood Prone Land (4.3) Direction of the Local Planning Directions under Section 9.1(2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. Council noted inconsistency with Local Planning Direction 4.3 Flood Prone Land. With regard to this Planning Direction, given that the proposal would permit a significant increase in the	Refer to section 2.7 of the Stormwater Management Strategy (SMS) for a response to the matters for consideration under Direction 4.3. The Planning Proposal does not specifically permit a significant increase in the development of floodprone land. No changes are proposed to the height and FSR controls for the site which directly impact the developable area of the site.
Floodplain	with the Flood Prone Land (4.3) Direction of the Local Planning Directions under Section 9.1(2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. Council noted inconsistency with Local Planning Direction 4.3 Flood Prone Land. With regard to this Planning Direction, given that the proposal would permit a significant increase in the development of floodprone land, the	Refer to section 2.7 of the Stormwater Management Strategy (SMS) for a response to the matters for consideration under Direction 4.3. The Planning Proposal does not specifically permit a significant increase in the development of floodprone land. No changes are proposed to the height and FSR controls for the site which directly impact the developable area of the site. The Planning Proposal does not set a
Floodplain	with the Flood Prone Land (4.3) Direction of the Local Planning Directions under Section 9.1(2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. Council noted inconsistency with Local Planning Direction 4.3 Flood Prone Land. With regard to this Planning Direction, given that the proposal would permit a significant increase in the development of floodprone land, the applicant must demonstrate that the	Refer to section 2.7 of the Stormwater Management Strategy (SMS) for a response to the matters for consideration under Direction 4.3. The Planning Proposal does not specifically permit a significant increase in the development of floodprone land. No changes are proposed to the height and FSR controls for the site which directly impact the developable area of the site. The Planning Proposal does not set a precedent for adjoining properties to be
Floodplain	with the Flood Prone Land (4.3) Direction of the Local Planning Directions under Section 9.1(2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. Council noted inconsistency with Local Planning Direction 4.3 Flood Prone Land. With regard to this Planning Direction, given that the proposal would permit a significant increase in the development of floodprone land, the applicant must demonstrate that the cumulative impact of the development	Refer to section 2.7 of the Stormwater Management Strategy (SMS) for a response to the matters for consideration under Direction 4.3. The Planning Proposal does not specifically permit a significant increase in the development of floodprone land. No changes are proposed to the height and FSR controls for the site which directly impact the developable area of the site. The Planning Proposal does not set a precedent for adjoining properties to be upzoned. Any upzoning of adjoining land
Floodplain	with the Flood Prone Land (4.3) Direction of the Local Planning Directions under Section 9.1(2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. Council noted inconsistency with Local Planning Direction 4.3 Flood Prone Land. With regard to this Planning Direction, given that the proposal would permit a significant increase in the development of floodprone land, the applicant must demonstrate that the	Refer to section 2.7 of the Stormwater Management Strategy (SMS) for a response to the matters for consideration under Direction 4.3. The Planning Proposal does not specifically permit a significant increase in the development of floodprone land. No changes are proposed to the height and FSR controls for the site which directly impact the developable area of the site. The Planning Proposal does not set a precedent for adjoining properties to be upzoned. Any upzoning of adjoining land would need to be reviewed in the context of
Floodplain	with the Flood Prone Land (4.3) Direction of the Local Planning Directions under Section 9.1(2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. Council noted inconsistency with Local Planning Direction 4.3 Flood Prone Land. With regard to this Planning Direction, given that the proposal would permit a significant increase in the development of floodprone land, the applicant must demonstrate that the cumulative impact of the development will not affect surrounding areas.	Refer to section 2.7 of the Stormwater Management Strategy (SMS) for a response to the matters for consideration under Direction 4.3. The Planning Proposal does not specifically permit a significant increase in the development of floodprone land. No changes are proposed to the height and FSR controls for the site which directly impact the developable area of the site. The Planning Proposal does not set a precedent for adjoining properties to be upzoned. Any upzoning of adjoining land
Floodplain	 with the Flood Prone Land (4.3) Direction of the Local Planning Directions under Section 9.1(2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. Council noted inconsistency with Local Planning Direction 4.3 Flood Prone Land. With regard to this Planning Direction, given that the proposal would permit a significant increase in the development of floodprone land, the applicant must demonstrate that the cumulative impact of the development will not affect surrounding areas. 	Refer to section 2.7 of the Stormwater Management Strategy (SMS) for a response to the matters for consideration under Direction 4.3. The Planning Proposal does not specifically permit a significant increase in the development of floodprone land. No changes are proposed to the height and FSR controls for the site which directly impact the developable area of the site. The Planning Proposal does not set a precedent for adjoining properties to be upzoned. Any upzoning of adjoining land would need to be reviewed in the context of flood risk for specific sites.
Floodplain	with the Flood Prone Land (4.3) Direction of the Local Planning Directions under Section 9.1(2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. Council noted inconsistency with Local Planning Direction 4.3 Flood Prone Land. With regard to this Planning Direction, given that the proposal would permit a significant increase in the development of floodprone land, the applicant must demonstrate that the cumulative impact of the development will not affect surrounding areas. The planning proposal has the potential to set a precedent for	Refer to section 2.7 of the Stormwater Management Strategy (SMS) for a response to the matters for consideration under Direction 4.3. The Planning Proposal does not specifically permit a significant increase in the development of floodprone land. No changes are proposed to the height and FSR controls for the site which directly impact the developable area of the site. The Planning Proposal does not set a precedent for adjoining properties to be upzoned. Any upzoning of adjoining land would need to be reviewed in the context of flood risk for specific sites. The documentation considers the
Floodplain	 with the Flood Prone Land (4.3) Direction of the Local Planning Directions under Section 9.1(2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979. Council noted inconsistency with Local Planning Direction 4.3 Flood Prone Land. With regard to this Planning Direction, given that the proposal would permit a significant increase in the development of floodprone land, the applicant must demonstrate that the cumulative impact of the development will not affect surrounding areas. 	Refer to section 2.7 of the Stormwater Management Strategy (SMS) for a response to the matters for consideration under Direction 4.3. The Planning Proposal does not specifically permit a significant increase in the development of floodprone land. No changes are proposed to the height and FSR controls for the site which directly impact the developable area of the site. The Planning Proposal does not set a precedent for adjoining properties to be upzoned. Any upzoning of adjoining land would need to be reviewed in the context of flood risk for specific sites.

	or the impacts to flood prone land to	does not consider or justify the rezoning of
	the northwest of the subject site.	other adjoining land. The SMS confirms that
		the development scenario will not affect
	Council is supportive of opportunities	surrounding areas in terms of flooding. It will
	to minimise flood risk to private	reduce the overland flows on flood affected
	property and divert this flow to Darley	residential land in Kunari Place (i.e. land
	Street provided that it does not impact	located to the northwest of the subject site).
	the trafficability of the roadway in flood	
	events.	The SMS has been discussed with Council's
		flooding representative who generally
	The Proposal would need to outline	supported the stormwater management
	how any future Development	approach on the basis that it provides a better
	Application on the site could comply	outcome for the downstream land owners
	with Council's Local Environmental	and reduces the risk of flooding on these
	Plan and Development Control Plan	
	provisions for flood prone land.	properties.
		AECOM has undertaken detailed medalling
		AECOM has undertaken detailed modelling
		for this Planning Proposal which is beyond
		what is required for a such an application to
		demonstrate to Council that stormwater and
		flooding issues can be adequately
		addressed. Detailed modelling can be made
		available for Council's review if required. The
		assessment confirms that the proposed
		stormwater solution does not impact on the
		trafficability of the roadway in flood events.
		Proposed flood planning Levels as set out in
		Section 2.6 of the SMS report are aligned
		with Section B3.11 (Flood Prone Land) of
		Pittwater 21 DCP. Section 2.7 of the SMS
		confirms that the 1% AEP plus 0.5m to
		residential finished floor levels should be
		adopted as the residential Flood Planning
		Level. This is consistent with Clause 7.3 of
		the Pittwater LEP 2014.
Traffic Network	All access would be through Darley	The matters raised by Council are more
	Street (West) and comply with AS2890	appropriately addressed at the DA stage.
	for the classification of the car parking	Nevertheless, the Traffic Assessment
	provided.	(Appendix C) has addressed the
	provided.	development concept's compliance with the
	Oncite parking is to comply with the	Pittwater DCP. The site is within walking
	Onsite parking is to comply with the DCP and AS 2890 requirements.	distance of Mona Vale's shops and strategic

	centre. It is also within walking distance of
As the site will result in an	public transport options. The Traffic
intensification there is the need to	Assessment confirms that the proposed
potentially provide tactile traffic	development concept will not result in any
calming in a minimum of two locations	impacts on the level of service of the
along the Darley Street corridor.	intersection of Darley Street West and
	Pittwater Road.
Applicant is to consider Active	
Transport provision and pedestrian	
and other connections from/to the	
Mona Vale Town Centre.	
The applicant should also consider	
provision of electric vehicle	
infrastructure in any future	
development application.	